1

2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

Article 10

2021-2024

ARTICLE 10 EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE EVALUATIONS

10.1 Annual Evaluations.

(a) Policy. Performance evaluations are primarily intended to communicate to an employee an assessment of that employee's performance of assigned duties by providing written constructive feedback that will assist in improving the employee's performance and expertise. Evaluations may be considered in employment related decisions such as salary, retention, assignments, awards, tenure, and promotion. Each employee's performance shall be evaluated in writing at least once annually. Employees shall be evaluated according to the University Criteria for Annual Performance Evaluations (Section 10.1(d) below).

(b) Annual Evaluation Period. The annual evaluation period shall be the academic year,
beginning August 8th, and shall include the preceding summer, as appropriate. The
evaluation period for research may be longer than one year if specified in the approved
Annual Evaluation Standards and Procedures. The evaluation period used to distinguish
between ratings of Conditional and Unsatisfactory in any area of assignment may be longer
than one year.

(c) Evaluation Ratings. Evaluations shall use the rating categories of outstanding, above 18 19 satisfactory, satisfactory, conditional, and unsatisfactory in each area of assignment and for 20 the overall evaluation. The overall evaluation shall be consistent with the employee's annual assignment, the evaluations in each assignment area, and the department or unit's 21 22 Annual Evaluation Standards and Procedures. An employee shall not be evaluated in and 23 the overall evaluation shall not be affected by an area in which the employee had no 24 assignment. A department or unit's Annual Evaluation Standards and Procedures may 25 require an employee to receive a minimum rating of Satisfactory in each area of assignment 26 with an assignment of effort of five percent (5%) or more in order to receive an overall rating 27 of Satisfactory or above.

(d) University Criteria for Annual Performance Evaluations. The annual performance
 evaluation shall be based upon the professional performance of assigned duties and shall
 carefully consider the nature of the assignments and quality of the performance in terms,
 where applicable, of:

(1) Teaching effectiveness, including effectiveness in imparting knowledge,
 information, and ideas by means or methods such as lecture, discussion, assignment and
 recitation, demonstration, laboratory exercise, workshop, practical experience, student
 perceptions of instruction, assessment of and engagement with student work, and direct
 consultation with students.

a. The evaluation shall include consideration of effectiveness in imparting
 knowledge and skills, and effectiveness in stimulating students' critical thinking and/or
 creative abilities, the development or revision of curriculum and course structure, effective
 student performance evaluation procedures, and adherence to accepted standards of
 professional behavior in meeting responsibilities to students. The learning objectives of
 each course, the means of assessing learning objectives, and the outcomes of the
 assessment should be assessed as part of the teaching performance.

b. The evaluation shall include consideration of other assigned university
teaching duties, such as advising, counseling, supervision, or duties of the position held by
the employee.

Commented [CP1]: The teams are apart at 10/2(a), regarding the need to have a CPE before attempting promotion to full.

Page 1 of 7

Article 10

47 c. The department chair or unit head (or "evaluator") shall take into account any 48 relevant materials submitted by the employee such as class notes, syllabi, student exams and assignments, an employee's teaching portfolio, results of peer evaluations of teaching, 49 50 and any other materials relevant to the employee's instructional assignment. 51 d. The evaluator shall consider all information available in forming an 52 assessment of teaching effectiveness. 53 (2) Contribution to the discovery of new knowledge, development of new educational 54 techniques, and other forms of research/scholarship/creative activity. 55 a. Evidence of research/scholarship/creative activity, either print or electronic. 56 shall include, but not be limited to, as appropriate, published books; chapters in books; 57 articles and papers in professional journals; musical compositions, paintings, sculpture; 58 works of performing art; papers presented at meetings of professional societies; funded 59 grant activities; reviews; and research and creative activity that has not yet resulted in publication, funding, display, or performance. 60 61 b. The evaluation shall include consideration of the quality and quantity of the employee's research/scholarship and other creative programs and contributions during the 62 evaluation period, and recognition by the academic or professional community of what has 63 64 been accomplished. 65 (3) Performance of assigned professional duties. (4) Public service that extends professional or discipline-related contributions to the 66 67 community; the state, including public schools; and the national and international community. Such service includes contributions to scholarly and professional conferences 68 69 and organizations, governmental boards, agencies, and commissions that are beneficial to 70 such groups and individuals. 71 (5) Service within the university and participation in the governance processes of the 72 institution through significant service on committees, councils, and senates, attendance at 73 commencement, and the employee's contributions to the governance of the institution 74 through participation in regular departmental or college meetings. 75 (6) Service for UFF activities is not considered university service and shall not be 76 evaluated. (7) Other assigned university duties such as academic administration. 77 78 (e) Annual Evaluation Standards and Procedures (AESPs). Each University department 79 or unit shall maintain written AESPs by which to evaluate each employee according to the University Criteria for Annual Performance Evaluations specified in this article. AESPs shall 80 81 be clarifications of the University criteria in terms tailored to the department or unit's 82 discipline (s), employee positions (e.g., tenured or tenure earning, non-tenure-earning, 83 library faculty), and assigned duties. These discipline-specific clarifications shall: 84 (1) take into consideration the University's mission, the college's or division's 85 mission the department's mission, and the expectations for the different ranks: 86 (2) be adaptable to various assigned duties; 87 (3) address, as appropriate, how various research/scholarship/creative activities are 88 valued and the outlets in which employees might be expected to publish, exhibit, or perform. 89 (4) be rigorous and detailed enough that a reasonable employee should not be

90 uncertain or confused about what performance or accomplishment is sufficient in teaching, 91 research/scholarship/creative activity, professional duties commonly assigned in the 92 department or unit, and service to earn each performance evaluation rating. The 93 clarifications shall identify for each assignment area some representative examples of the 94 achievements or performance characteristics that would earn each performance evaluation 95 rating, consistent with an employee's assigned duties. Examples shall be included for **Commented [CP2]:** Helps to clarify that sometimes the chair/unit head is not the evaluator – this theme continues throughout the document.

From CBA 32:

supervisor - an individual identified by the University representative as having immediate administrative authority over bargaining unit employees.

9/22: Both parties accepted this language.

Page 2 of 7

Article 10

96 typical assignments within the department or unit (e.g., for 2-2 and 3-2 teaching 97 assignments with correspondingly larger and smaller research assignments, if typically 98 assigned), and must demonstrate the equitable opportunity required by (2) above. 99 (f) Process for developing AESPs.

100 (1) In tenure-granting departments or units, a committee of six members including 101 four unit employees (at least two must have tenure) elected by the employees in the unit, the department chair or unit head, and one representative appointed by the dean will 102 develop or revise AESPs. If a department or unit has fewer than two tenured employees, 103 the entire department or unit shall vote to elect up to four employees to serve on the 104 105 committee, along with the department chair or unit head and one member appointed by the 106 dean.

107 (2) Employees in the department or unit shall propose AESPs or changes thereto as 108 developed by the committee by a majority vote in a secret ballot. If a majority exists, the proposed AESPs shall be forwarded to the dean or the appropriate vice president. 109

110 (3) The proposed AESPs or revisions thereto shall be reviewed by the dean or vice 111 president. If the dean/vice president determines the proposed AESPs do not meet their expectations, the dean/vice president may refer them back to the department or unit for 112 113 revision with a written statement of the reasons for non-acceptance.

(4) Once the dean or vice president determines the proposed AESPs or revisions 114 115 are acceptable, they shall be forwarded to the university's representative for review to 116 ensure they are consistent with the mission and goals of the University and comply with this Agreement. If the university's representative determines that the proposed AESPs or 117 118 revisions thereto are acceptable, they shall be approved. If not, they shall be referred back 119 to the college or division for revision by the department or unit with a written statement of 120 reasons for non-approval.

(5) If, one year after the initiation of the process described in this subsection, AESPs 121 acceptable to the dean/vice president and university's representative have not been 122 123 approved by the department or unit, draft AESPs, committee and department votes, and 124 comments from employees, committee, and the dean/vice president shall be forwarded to 125 the university's representative for consideration. The university's representative shall, in conjunction with the dean/vice president and department head, and in consideration of the 126 127 opinions of the employees and of approved AESPs for other departments and units, 128 develop and institute new department or unit AESPs. These AESPs shall remain in place 129 until such time as new AESPs are developed and approved according to the procedure 130 outlined in this subsection.

(6) Approved AESPs and revisions thereto shall be kept on file in the department or 131 132 unit office. Upon written request, employees in each department or unit shall be provided an 133 electronic copy of that department or unit's current AESPs.

134 (7) Review of AESPs must occur on a regular basis and must begin no later than 135 five (5) years after the adoption or most recent review of those AESPs. The university's representative, the dean, or a majority of employees in the department or unit may initiate 136 the review of AESPs at any time. The process for reviewing a department or unit's AESPs 137 138 shall be the same as the process for developing them, as described in this article. The effective date for AESPs or revisions thereto shall be the start of the annual evaluation 139 140 period that begins after the date the AESPs or revisions are approved by the university's 141 representative and the employees of the department or unit are so informed in writing. 142 (g) Process for and Sources of Evaluation.

143 (1) Employee Annual Report. Every year, each employee shall submit to the 144 department chair or unit head (orhereafter, "evaluator") a report of the employee's

193

Article 10

145 performance in each area of assignment. This report shall be due to the evaluator on May 7 146 of each year. The evaluatorsupervisor, may, at the written request from the employee, 147 provide an extension of up to twenty-one days to submit the annual report. The employee annual report may include any interpretive comments and supporting data that the 148 149 employee deems appropriate for evaluating the employee's performance and shall also 150 include an up-to-date and accurate CV. The employee shall submit the report in the format determined by the college. 151 152 (2) The evaluator shall also consider, where appropriate and available, information from the following sources: immediate supervisor (if different from the evaluator), peers, 153 154 students, employee, other university officials who have responsibility for supervision of the 155 employee, and individuals to whom the employee may be responsible in the course of a 156 service assignment, including public school officials when the employee has a service 157 assignment to the public schools. Copies of materials to be used in the evaluation process submitted by persons other than the employee shall be provided to the employee, who may 158 159 attach a written response within thirty days of receiving that document. 160 (3) All assigned activities for which an employee receives compensation from the 161 university, including summer assignments, shall be reported upon and evaluated. An 162 employee may report activities related to the areas of assignment that are performed during times when the employee is not compensated by the university; if reported upon, these 163 164 activities shall be evaluated. 165 (4) Observation/Visitation. The evaluator or the evaluator's representative may conduct classroom observation/visitation in connection with the employee's evaluation. If 166 167 such classroom observations/visitations are conducted, no fewer than two observations/visitations shall be completed during the evaluation period. 168 169 a. Absent immediate concerns described below, the evaluator shall notify the employee at least two days in advance of the date and time of any direct classroom 170 171 observation or visitation. If the employee determines this date is not appropriate because of 172 the nature of the scheduled class activities, the employee may suggest a more appropriate 173 date. 174 b. If the evaluator has received a complaint or other information that gives rise 175 to immediate concerns about the conduct of the class, the evaluator or the evaluator's representative may observe or visit the class at any time without notice to the employee. 176 c. Observation/visitation of online classroom settings is permitted at any time. 177 d. A written report of the observation/visitation shall be submitted to the 178 179 employee, if the employee requests a report, within two weeks of the observation/visitation. 180 If the observation/visitation involves a course that was assigned to the employee with less than six weeks' notice, such change shall be noted in the report. The employee shall be 181 182 offered the opportunity to discuss the evaluation with the evaluator prior to its being finalized 183 and placed in the employee's evaluation file and may submit a written reply within thirty 184 days of receipt, which shall be attached to the report. 185 e. Peer Assessment. An employee has the right to have the evaluator assign a 186 peer to observe/visit the employee's teaching and to have an assessment of that 187 observation/visitation included as part of the employee's annual report. A department or unit may require peer observation/visitation, which shall be carried out in accordance with the 188 189 requirements of this subsection. In these cases, the peer may be a colleague within the University, a retired colleague, or a colleague in the same discipline from another university. 190 (5) Written Evaluation. 191 192 a. The proposed written annual evaluation shall be provided to the employee at

Page 4 of 7

by the start of the fall semester. Annual evaluations are not required for employees who

203

Article 10

have been non-reappointed or whose employment ends before December 31 of the newacademic year.

b. The employee shall be offered the opportunity to discuss the evaluation with
the evaluator prior to its being finalized and placed in the employee's evaluation file. The
evaluation shall be signed and dated by the evaluator and by the employee, to acknowledge
receipt of it. The employee may attach a concise comment to the evaluation within thirty
days of receipt. A copy of the evaluation shall be provided to the employee.

201 c. Upon written request from the employee, the evaluator shall endeavor to 202 assist the employee in addressing any performance deficiencies.

204 10.2 Cumulative Progress Evaluations.

205 (a) Policy. Tenure earning or tenured employees eligible for consideration for promotion 206 to the rank of associate professor and/or tenure shall be informed annually of their progress 207 toward promotion and/or tenure. Each year's cumulative progress evaluation shall build 208 upon prior cumulative progress evaluations so an employee's progress toward tenure 209 and/or promotion in a given year will be viewed in the context of attainments over the entire 210 tenure and/or promotion earning period. Employees eligible for promotion to professor shall be similarly apprised of their progress toward promotion at least once prior to submitting 211 212 their promotion dossier. The cumulative progress evaluations are intended to provide an 213 accurate assessment of cumulative performance as leading to attainment of promotion 214 and/or tenure, and to provide assistance and counseling to candidates to help them qualify 215 themselves for tenure and/or promotion.

216 (b) Process. All cumulative progress evaluations shall be completed during the spring 217 semester. Beginning with the second year of employment (or the first year, if tenure credit was given) and continuing annually, an employee who is eligible for tenure and/or 218 219 promotion to the rank of associate professor shall receive a cumulative progress evaluation. 220 Separate cumulative progress evaluations shall be provided by the tenured members of the department or unit (excluding the chair/head and dean), the chair/head, and dean. For 221 222 cumulative evaluations of progress towards promotion to professor, only tenured professors 223 participate in the employee's evaluation. If the department or unit has fewer than three 224 tenured members or tenured professors, as appropriate, the dean may increase the 225 committee membership to three using tenured members of appropriate rank from other 226 departments or units. If the chair/head of the department or unit does not hold the rank of 227 professor or is not a tenured member of the department/unit, the dean may appoint a 228 tenured faculty member of an appropriate rank from another department/unit to serve in this 229 role for the purpose of completing the cumulative progress evaluations. The employee may 230 request, in writing, a meeting with the chair/head and/or dean to discuss concerns regarding 231 the cumulative progress evaluation.

(c) Criteria.

232

(1) Progress toward the promotion to the rank of associate professor with tenure will
 be assessed based on professional performance of teaching, research, and service, and
 the likelihood of future contributions at or exceeding current levels of performance.
 (2) Progress toward tenure for tenure-earning associate professors will be assessed

based on the professional performance of teaching, research, and service, and the
 likelihood of future contributions at or exceeding current levels of performance.

(3) Progress toward tenure for tenure-earning professors will be assessed based on
 the professional performance of teaching, research, and service, the achievement of

Commented [CP3]: BOT believes that retaining this language is clear on expectations for promotion to full. Applies throughout.

Page 5 of 7

Article 10

241 national and/or international prominence, evidence of advancing their field of study, and the 242 likelihood of future contributions at or exceeding current levels of performance.

243 (4) When requested by the employee, progress toward the rank of professor will be assessed based on the professional performance of teaching, research, and service, the 244 245 achievement of national and/or international prominence, evidence of advancing their field 246 of study, and the likelihood of future contributions at or exceeding current levels of 247 performance. 248

249 **10.3 Sustained Performance Evaluations.**

250 (a) Policy. Tenured employees shall receive a sustained performance evaluation at least 251 once every three years following the award of tenure or their most recent promotion. The 252 purpose of this evaluation is to document sustained performance during the previous three 253 vears of assigned duties to evaluate continued professional growth and development. 254 (b) Process.

255 (1) At the end of three years of tenured or post-promotion service, and each 256 subsequent three year period, or at any time the employee has not maintained productivity 257 expectations, an employee's sustained performance will be evaluated. This evaluation will consist of a review of the overall annual evaluation ratings and productivity during that 258 259 period of interest. If the employee's overall performance is deemed to be below satisfactory, 260 then the employee shall be issued a performance improvement plan. The average shall be determined by assigning a value of 4 for Outstanding, 3 for Above Satisfactory, 2 for 261 262 Satisfactory, 1 for Conditional, and 0 for Unsatisfactory to each of the employee's annual 263 evaluation ratings over the appropriate period. If the average value is less than 2.0, the 264 employee's performance shall be deemed below satisfactory.

265 (2) A performance improvement plan shall be developed by the department chair or 266 unit head and shall include specific measurable performance targets with target dates that 267 must be completed in a period of two years. The performance improvement plan requires 268 the approval of the dean and the university's representative.

269 (3) When an employee has a performance improvement plan, the department chair 270 or unit head shall provide an annual evaluation of the employee's performance on the plan. Adherence to the performance improvement plan, including its targets and target deadlines, 271 272 shall be the governing criteria for performance improvement plan evaluations.

273 (4) It is the responsibility of the employee to attain the performance targets specified 274 in the performance improvement plan. Lack of success may result in dismissal. The 275 employee may attach a concise response to the sustained performance evaluation, the 276 performance improvement plan, and annual evaluations of performance on the sustained 277 performance plan. Any such responses shall be included in the evaluation file. 278

279 10.4 Proficiency in Spoken English.

285

280 (a) Requirement. Employees must, to be involved in classroom instruction beyond one 281 (1) semester, establish proficiency in the oral use of English, as set forth in Section 282 1012.93, Florida Statutes, and any applicable Board of Education or Board of Governors 283 rule or resolution. 284

(b) Deficiency. Failure to correct the deficiencies may result in termination.

286 10.5 Employee Assistance Programs. Neither the fact of an employee's participation 287 in an employee assistance program nor information generated by participation in the 288 program shall be used as evidence of a performance deficiency within the evaluation

Commented [CP4]: UFF proposal increasing the evaluation cycle to seven years - BOT prefers SQ language

Commented [CP5]: 9/22/2021 UFF added new language: in consultation with the employee and in alignment with expectations of "Satisfactory" performance according to unit's AESP, and shall include specific measurable performance targets with reasonable target dates...

"In consultation" - parties may be able to agree on this point.

Page 6 of 7

Article 10

2021-2024

processes described in this Article, except for information relating to an employee's failure to participate in an employee assistance program consistent with the terms to which the employee and the university's representative have agreed. 289 290

291

Page 7 of 7