

1 **ARTICLE 10**
2 ***EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE EVALUATIONS***
3

4 **10.1 Annual Evaluations.**

5 (a) Policy. Performance evaluations are primarily intended to communicate to an
6 employee an assessment of that employee's performance of assigned duties by providing
7 written constructive feedback that will assist in improving the employee's performance and
8 expertise. Evaluations may be considered in employment related decisions such as salary,
9 retention, assignments, awards, tenure, and promotion. Each employee's performance shall
10 be evaluated in writing at least once annually. Employees shall be evaluated according to
11 the University Criteria for Annual Performance Evaluations (Section 10.1(d) below).

12 (b) Annual Evaluation Period. The annual evaluation period shall be the academic year,
13 beginning August 8th, and shall include the preceding summer, as appropriate. The
14 evaluation period for research may be longer than one year if specified in the approved
15 Annual Evaluation Standards and Procedures. The evaluation period used to distinguish
16 between ratings of Conditional and Unsatisfactory in any area of assignment may be longer
17 than one year.

18 (c) Evaluation Ratings. Evaluations shall use the rating categories of outstanding, above
19 satisfactory, satisfactory, conditional, and unsatisfactory in each area of assignment and for
20 the overall evaluation. The overall evaluation shall be consistent with the employee's
21 annual assignment, the evaluations in each assignment area, and the department or unit's
22 Annual Evaluation Standards and Procedures. An employee shall not be evaluated in and
23 the overall evaluation shall not be affected by an area in which the employee had no
24 assignment. A department or unit's Annual Evaluation Standards and Procedures may
25 require an employee to receive a minimum rating of Satisfactory in each area of assignment
26 with an assignment of effort of five percent (5%) or more in order to receive an overall rating
27 of Satisfactory or above.

28 (d) University Criteria for Annual Performance Evaluations. The annual performance
29 evaluation shall be based upon the professional performance of assigned duties and shall
30 carefully consider the nature of the assignments and quality of the performance in terms,
31 where applicable, of:

32 (1) Teaching effectiveness, including effectiveness in imparting knowledge,
33 information, and ideas by means or methods such as lecture, discussion, assignment and
34 recitation, demonstration, laboratory exercise, workshop, practical experience, student
35 perceptions of instruction, assessment of and engagement with student work, and direct
36 consultation with students.

37 a. The evaluation shall include consideration of effectiveness in imparting
38 knowledge and skills, and effectiveness in stimulating students' critical thinking and/or
39 creative abilities, the development or revision of curriculum and course structure, effective
40 student performance evaluation procedures, and adherence to accepted standards of
41 professional behavior in meeting responsibilities to students. The learning objectives of
42 each course, the means of assessing learning objectives, and the outcomes of the
43 assessment should be assessed as part of the teaching performance.

44 b. The evaluation shall include consideration of other assigned university
45 teaching duties, such as advising, counseling, supervision, or duties of the position held by
46 the employee.

47 c. The evaluator shall take into account any relevant materials submitted by the
48 employee such as class notes, syllabi, student exams and assignments, an employee's
49 teaching portfolio, results of peer evaluations of teaching, and any other materials relevant
50 to the employee's instructional assignment.

51 d. The evaluator shall consider all information available in forming an
52 assessment of teaching effectiveness.

53 (2) Contribution to the discovery of new knowledge, development of new educational
54 techniques, and other forms of research/scholarship/creative activity.

55 a. Evidence of research/scholarship/creative activity, either print or electronic,
56 shall include, but not be limited to, as appropriate, published books; chapters in books;
57 articles and papers in professional journals; musical compositions, paintings, sculpture;
58 works of performing art; papers presented at meetings of professional societies; funded
59 grant activities; reviews; and research and creative activity that has not yet resulted in
60 publication, funding, display, or performance.

61 b. The evaluation shall include consideration of the quality and quantity of the
62 employee's research/scholarship and other creative programs and contributions during the
63 evaluation period, and recognition by the academic or professional community of what has
64 been accomplished.

65 (3) Performance of assigned professional duties.

66 (4) Public service that extends professional or discipline-related contributions to the
67 community; the state, including public schools; and the national and international
68 community. Such service includes contributions to scholarly and professional conferences
69 and organizations, governmental boards, agencies, and commissions that are beneficial to
70 such groups and individuals.

71 (5) Service within the university and participation in the governance processes of the
72 institution through significant service on committees, councils, and senates, attendance at
73 commencement, and the employee's contributions to the governance of the institution
74 through participation in regular departmental or college meetings.

75 (6) Service for UFF activities is not considered university service and shall not be
76 evaluated.

77 (7) Other assigned university duties such as academic administration.

78 (e) Annual Evaluation Standards and Procedures (AESPs). Each University department
79 or unit shall maintain written AESPs by which to evaluate each employee according to the
80 University Criteria for Annual Performance Evaluations specified in this article. AESPs shall
81 be clarifications of the University criteria in terms tailored to the department or unit's
82 discipline(s), employee positions (e.g., tenured or tenure earning, non-tenure-earning,
83 library faculty), and assigned duties. These discipline-specific clarifications shall:

84 (1) take into consideration the University's mission, the college's or division's
85 mission the department's mission, and the expectations for the different ranks;

86 (2) be adaptable to various assigned duties;

87 (3) address, as appropriate, how various research/scholarship/creative activities are
88 valued and the outlets in which employees might be expected to publish, exhibit, or perform.

89 (4) be rigorous and detailed enough that a reasonable employee should not be
90 uncertain or confused about what performance or accomplishment is sufficient in teaching,
91 research/scholarship/creative activity, professional duties commonly assigned in the
92 department or unit, and service to earn each performance evaluation rating. The
93 clarifications shall identify for each assignment area some representative examples of the
94 achievements or performance characteristics that would earn each performance evaluation
95 rating, consistent with an employee's assigned duties. Examples shall be included for

96 typical assignments within the department or unit (e.g., for 2-2 and 3-2 teaching
97 assignments with correspondingly larger and smaller research assignments, if typically
98 assigned), and must demonstrate the equitable opportunity required by (2) above.

99 (f) Process for developing AESPs.

100 (1) In tenure-granting departments or units, a committee of six members including
101 four unit employees (at least two must have tenure) elected by the employees in the unit,
102 the department chair or unit head, and one representative appointed by the dean will
103 develop or revise AESPs. If a department or unit has fewer than two tenured employees,
104 the entire department or unit shall vote to elect up to four employees to serve on the
105 committee, along with the department chair or unit head and one member appointed by the
106 dean.

107 (2) Employees in the department or unit shall propose AESPs or changes thereto as
108 developed by the committee by a majority vote in a secret ballot. If a majority exists, the
109 proposed AESPs shall be forwarded to the dean or the appropriate vice president.

110 (3) The proposed AESPs or revisions thereto shall be reviewed by the dean or vice
111 president. If the dean/vice president determines the proposed AESPs do not meet their
112 expectations, the dean/vice president may refer them back to the department or unit for
113 revision with a written statement of the reasons for non-acceptance.

114 (4) Once the dean or vice president determines the proposed AESPs or revisions
115 are acceptable, they shall be forwarded to the university's representative for review to
116 ensure they are consistent with the mission and goals of the University and comply with this
117 Agreement. If the university's representative determines that the proposed AESPs or
118 revisions thereto are acceptable, they shall be approved. If not, they shall be referred back
119 to the college or division for revision by the department or unit with a written statement of
120 reasons for non-approval.

121 (5) If, one year after the initiation of the process described in this subsection, AESPs
122 acceptable to the dean/vice president and university's representative have not been
123 approved by the department or unit, draft AESPs, committee and department votes, and
124 comments from employees, committee, and the dean/vice president shall be forwarded to
125 the university's representative for consideration. The university's representative shall, in
126 conjunction with the dean/vice president and department head, and in consideration of the
127 opinions of the employees and of approved AESPs for other departments and units,
128 develop and institute new department or unit AESPs. These AESPs shall remain in place
129 until such time as new AESPs are developed and approved according to the procedure
130 outlined in this subsection.

131 (6) Approved AESPs and revisions thereto shall be kept on file in the department or
132 unit office. Upon written request, employees in each department or unit shall be provided an
133 electronic copy of that department or unit's current AESPs.

134 (7) Review of AESPs must occur on a regular basis and must begin no later than
135 five (5) years after the adoption or most recent review of those AESPs. The university's
136 representative, the dean, or a majority of employees in the department or unit may initiate
137 the review of AESPs at any time. The process for reviewing a department or unit's AESPs
138 shall be the same as the process for developing them, as described in this article. The
139 effective date for AESPs or revisions thereto shall be the start of the annual evaluation
140 period that begins after the date the AESPs or revisions are approved by the university's
141 representative and the employees of the department or unit are so informed in writing.

142 (g) Process for and Sources of Evaluation.

143 (1) Employee Annual Report. Every year, each employee shall submit to the
144 department chair or unit head (hereafter, "evaluator") a report of the employee's

145 performance in each area of assignment. This report shall be due to the evaluator on May 7
146 of each year. The ~~evaluator~~^{supervisor}, may, at the written request from the employee,
147 provide an extension of up to twenty-one days to submit the annual report. The employee
148 annual report may include any interpretive comments and supporting data that the
149 employee deems appropriate for evaluating the employee's performance and shall also
150 include an up-to-date and accurate CV. The employee shall submit the report in the format
151 determined by the college.

152 (2) The evaluator shall also consider, where appropriate and available, information
153 from the following sources: immediate supervisor (~~if different from the evaluator~~), peers,
154 students, employee, other university officials who have responsibility for supervision of the
155 employee, and individuals to whom the employee may be responsible in the course of a
156 service assignment, including public school officials when the employee has a service
157 assignment to the public schools. Copies of materials to be used in the evaluation process
158 submitted by persons other than the employee shall be provided to the employee, who may
159 attach a written response within thirty days of receiving that document.

160 (3) All assigned activities for which an employee receives compensation from the
161 university, including summer assignments, shall be reported upon and evaluated. An
162 employee may report activities related to the areas of assignment that are performed during
163 times when the employee is not compensated by the university; if reported upon, these
164 activities shall be evaluated.

165 (4) Observation/Visitation. The evaluator or the evaluator's representative may
166 conduct classroom observation/visitation in connection with the employee's evaluation. If
167 such classroom observations/visitations are conducted, no fewer than two
168 observations/visitations shall be completed during the evaluation period.

169 a. Absent immediate concerns described below, the evaluator shall notify the
170 employee at least two days in advance of the date and time of any direct classroom
171 observation or visitation. If the employee determines this date is not appropriate because of
172 the nature of the scheduled class activities, the employee may suggest a more appropriate
173 date.

174 b. If the evaluator has received a complaint or other information that gives rise
175 to immediate concerns about the conduct of the class, the evaluator or the evaluator's
176 representative may observe or visit the class at any time without notice to the employee.

177 c. Observation/visitation of online classroom settings is permitted at any time.

178 d. A written report of the observation/visitation shall be submitted to the
179 employee, if the employee requests a report, within two weeks of the observation/visitation.
180 If the observation/visitation involves a course that was assigned to the employee with less
181 than six weeks' notice, such change shall be noted in the report. The employee shall be
182 offered the opportunity to discuss the evaluation with the evaluator prior to its being finalized
183 and placed in the employee's evaluation file and may submit a written reply within thirty
184 days of receipt, which shall be attached to the report.

185 e. Peer Assessment. An employee has the right to have the evaluator assign a
186 peer to observe/visit the employee's teaching and to have an assessment of that
187 observation/visitation included as part of the employee's annual report. A department or unit
188 may require peer observation/visitation, which shall be carried out in accordance with the
189 requirements of this subsection. In these cases, the peer may be a colleague within the
190 University, a retired colleague, or a colleague in the same discipline from another university.

191 (5) Written Evaluation.

192 a. The proposed written annual evaluation shall be provided to the employee at
193 the start of the fall semester. Annual evaluations are not required for employees who have

194 been non-reappointed or whose employment ends before December 31 of the new
195 academic year.

196 b. The employee shall be offered the opportunity to discuss the evaluation with
197 the evaluator prior to its being finalized and placed in the employee's evaluation file. The
198 evaluation shall be signed and dated by the evaluator and by the employee, to acknowledge
199 receipt of it. The employee may attach a concise comment to the evaluation within thirty
200 days of receipt. A copy of the evaluation shall be provided to the employee.

201 c. Upon written request from the employee, the evaluator shall endeavor to
202 assist the employee in addressing any performance deficiencies.
203

204 10.2 Cumulative Progress Evaluations.

205 (a) Policy. Tenure earning or tenured employees eligible for consideration for promotion
206 to the rank of associate professor and/or tenure shall be informed annually of their progress
207 toward promotion and/or tenure. Each year's cumulative progress evaluation shall build
208 upon prior cumulative progress evaluations so an employee's progress toward tenure
209 and/or promotion in a given year will be viewed in the context of attainments over the entire
210 tenure and/or promotion earning period. Employees eligible for promotion to professor ~~shall~~
211 ~~may~~ be similarly apprised of their progress toward promotion at least once prior to
212 submitting their promotion dossier. The cumulative progress evaluations are intended to
213 provide an accurate assessment of cumulative performance as leading to attainment of
214 promotion and/or tenure, and to provide assistance and counseling to candidates to help
215 them qualify themselves for tenure and/or promotion.

216 (b) Process. All cumulative progress evaluations shall be completed during the spring
217 semester. Beginning with the second year of employment (or the first year, if tenure credit
218 was given) and continuing annually, an employee who is eligible for tenure and/or
219 promotion to the rank of associate professor shall receive a cumulative progress evaluation.
220 Separate cumulative progress evaluations shall be provided by the tenured members of the
221 department or unit (excluding the chair/head and dean), the chair/head, and dean. For
222 cumulative evaluations of progress towards promotion to professor, only tenured professors
223 participate in the employee's evaluation. If the department or unit has fewer than three
224 tenured members or tenured professors, as appropriate, the dean may increase the
225 committee membership to three using tenured members of appropriate rank from other
226 departments or units. If the chair/head of the department or unit does not hold the rank of
227 professor or is not a tenured member of the department/unit, the dean may appoint a
228 tenured faculty member of an appropriate rank from another department/unit to serve in this
229 role for the purpose of completing the cumulative progress evaluations. The employee may
230 request, in writing, a meeting with the chair/head and/or dean to discuss concerns regarding
231 the cumulative progress evaluation.

232 (c) Criteria.

233 (1) Progress toward the promotion to the rank of associate professor with tenure will
234 be assessed based on professional performance of teaching, research, and service, and
235 the likelihood of future contributions at or exceeding current levels of performance.

236 (2) Progress toward tenure for tenure-earning associate professors will be assessed
237 based on the professional performance of teaching, research, and service, and the
238 likelihood of future contributions at or exceeding current levels of performance.

239 (3) Progress toward tenure for tenure-earning professors will be assessed based on
240 the professional performance of teaching, research, and service, the achievement of

241 national and/or international prominence, evidence of advancing their field of study, and the
242 likelihood of future contributions at or exceeding current levels of performance.

243 (4) When requested by the employee, progress toward the rank of professor will be
244 assessed based on the professional performance of teaching, research, and service, the
245 achievement of national and/or international prominence, evidence of advancing their field
246 of study, and the likelihood of future contributions at or exceeding current levels of
247 performance.
248

249 **10.3 Sustained Performance Evaluations.**

250 (a) Policy. Tenured employees shall receive a sustained performance evaluation at least
251 once every three years following the award of tenure or their most recent promotion. The
252 purpose of this evaluation is to document sustained performance during the previous three
253 years of assigned duties to evaluate continued professional growth and development.

254 (b) Process.

255 (1) At the end of ~~three~~ **seven** years of tenured or post-promotion service, and each
256 subsequent ~~three~~ **seven** year period, or at any time the employee has not maintained
257 productivity expectations, an employee’s sustained performance will be evaluated. This
258 evaluation will consist of a review of the overall annual evaluation ratings and productivity
259 during that period of interest. If the employee’s overall performance is deemed to be below
260 satisfactory, then the employee shall be issued a performance improvement plan. The
261 average shall be determined by assigning a value of 4 for Outstanding, 3 for Above
262 Satisfactory, 2 for Satisfactory, 1 for Conditional, and 0 for Unsatisfactory to each of the
263 employee’s annual evaluation ratings over the appropriate period. If the average value is
264 less than 2.0, the employee’s performance shall be deemed below satisfactory.

265 (2) A performance improvement plan shall be developed by the department chair or
266 unit head, in consultation with the employee and in alignment with expectations of
267 “Satisfactory” performance according to unit’s AESP, and shall include specific measurable
268 performance targets with reasonable target dates that must be completed in a period of two
269 years. The performance improvement plan requires the approval of the dean and the
270 university’s representative.

271 (3) When an employee has a performance improvement plan, the department chair
272 or unit head shall provide an annual evaluation of the employee’s performance on the plan.
273 Adherence to the performance improvement plan, including its targets and target deadlines,
274 shall be the governing criteria for performance improvement plan evaluations.

275 (4) It is the responsibility of the employee to attain the performance targets specified
276 in the performance improvement plan. Lack of success may result in dismissal. The
277 employee may attach a concise response to the sustained performance evaluation, the
278 performance improvement plan, and annual evaluations of performance on the sustained
279 performance plan. Any such responses shall be included in the evaluation file.
280

281 **10.4 Proficiency in Spoken English.**

282 (a) Requirement. Employees must, to be involved in classroom instruction beyond one
283 (1) semester, establish proficiency in the oral use of English, as set forth in Section
284 1012.93, Florida Statutes, and any applicable Board of Education or Board of Governors
285 rule or resolution.

286 (b) Deficiency. Failure to correct the deficiencies may result in termination.
287

Commented [CP1]: UFF proposal increasing the evaluation cycle to seven years – BOT prefers SQ language
Commented [TR2R1]: Three years is too short for meaningful evaluation. UFF would like seven years.

288 **10.5 Employee Assistance Programs.** Neither the fact of an employee's participation
289 in an employee assistance program nor information generated by participation in the
290 program shall be used as evidence of a performance deficiency within the evaluation
291 processes described in this Article, except for information relating to an employee's failure
292 to participate in an employee assistance program consistent with the terms to which the
293 employee and the university's representative have agreed.