

## MMAE Faculty Evaluation Standards and Procedures (2006)

### Teaching Activities

Expectation Areas	Unsatisfactory	Conditional	Satisfactory	Above Satisfactory	Outstanding***
1 Ph.D. student graduated*			below average	average	above average
2 Theses/Dissertation Supervision	none	minimal	below average	average	above average
3 Theses/Dissertation Graduated*	none	minimal	below average	average	above average
4 ABET and SACS	none	not responsive	responsive	support	support
5 Teaching Evaluations	poor	fair/poor	good/fair	very good/good	excellent/very good
6 Industry/Educational Partnership	none	none/minimal	below average	average	significant
7 Educational Proposals/Projects	none	1 in preparation	1 submitted	2 submitted <b>or</b> 1 ongoing project	2+ submitted and 1 accepted <b>or</b> 1 ongoing and 1 accepted <b>or</b> more than 1 ongoing
8 Team Competitions/Senior Design Groups/Student Advising	none	none/minimal	below average	average	significant
Other Considerations**					

\* As Advisor, rolling average over 2 years. Average: 0.33 PhD, 1-2 MS per faculty as Chair.

\*\* Such as textbook writing, placement of graduates, student recruitment, teaching awards, course revisions, new preparations/courses. For primarily teaching faculty, national recognition in teaching, textbook writing are other important activities to be rated outstanding.

\*\*\* Not every item needs to be satisfied to be outstanding overall.